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| [WITNESS PANEL: BISSON\|GELINEAU|PALMA] Page 9 | [WITNESS PANEL: BISSON\|GELINEAU|PALMA] Page 11 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. (Bisson) Yes, I have. | 1 in late November," and then goes on to |
| 2 Q. Mr. Gelineau, would you please state your | 2 indicate, "provided continued funding for |
| 3 name for the recor | 3 this program will be available on |
| 4 A. (Gelineau) Gilbert Gelin | 4 January 1st, 13." We'd like remove th |
| 5 Q. For whom are you employed? | 5 portion of that sentence that says "provided |
| 6 A. (Gelineau) Public Service Company of New | 6 continued funding for this program will be |
| 7 Hampshire. | 7 available on January 1st, 2013." The reason |
| 8 Q. What is your position, and what are you | 8 for that is we're planning to begin |
| 9 dutie | 9 implementation in November and December. And |
| 10 A. (Gelineau) I am a marketing support manager. | 10 if we receive approval from the Commission |
| 11 And in that role I have overa | 11 move forward, we would not know at that point |
| 12 responsibility for the Company's | 12 in time -- or it's our anticipation we would |
| 13 implementation of energy efficiency programs | 13 not know at that point in time and have a |
| 14 Q. And have you previously testified before this | 14 Commission order regarding the 2013 program |
| 15 Commission? | 15 year |
| 16 A. (Gelineau) Yes, I have | 16 Q. Is the Joint Utility Proposal in response to |
| 17 Q. Mr. Palma, will you please state your name | 17 a Commission order? And this is directed to |
| 18 for the record? | 18 Ms. Bisson. |
| 19 A. (Palma) Thomas Palma | 19 A. (Bisson) Yes, it's in response to the |
| 20 Q. And for whom are you employed? | 20 Supplemental Order of Notice that was issued |
| 21 A. (Palma) Unitil Service Corp | 21 by the Commission on July 13th, 2012. |
| 22 Q. And what is your position, and what are your | 22 Q. And is the utilities proposal before the |
| 23 duties in that position? <br> 24 A. (Palma) I'm the manager of Distributed Energy | 23 Commission today about only the $\$ 2$ million -- <br> 24 the approximately $\$ 2$ million that is |
| [WITNESS PANEL: BISSON\|GELINEAU|PALMA] Page 10 | [WITNESS PANEL: BISSON\|GELINEAU|PALMA] Page 12 |
| 1 Resources, and my duties include planning | 1 contained in the proposal and also the |
| 2 design of energy efficiency programs, as well | $2 \quad \$ 1$ million in seed money to fund the |
| 3 as distributed generation. | 3 beginning of the 2013 program year? |
| 4 Q. Have you previously testified before thi | 4 A. Correct. |
| 5 Commission? | 5 Q. I'm going to ask you to very, very quickly |
| 6 A. (Palma) Yes, I have | 6 walk through the proposal, first with respect |
| 7 Q. Have each of you assisted in preparing th | 7 to the low-income programs. What are the |
| 8 proposal dated August 10th, 2012 in response | 8 utilities proposing? |
| 9 to the Commission's supplemental order of | 9 A. (Bisson) As you indicated, the first aspect |
| 10 notice in Docket DE 10-188? | 10 of our proposal just addresses the immediate |
| 11 A. (All panel members) Yes. | 11 need for additional funds for low-income |
| 12 Q. And I understand that that proposal has been | 12 weatherization programs in the state of New |
| 13 marked for identification as Exhibit 57. Do | 13 Hampshire. So our proposal first allocates |
| 14 you have any corrections to make to that | 1415 percent of the total RGGI program budget |
| 15 proposal? | 15 to the residential low-income weatherization |
| 16 A. (Bisson) Yes, we do | 16 program, otherwise known as The Home Energy |
| 17 Q. And can you please inform the Commission of | 17 Assistance Program. And because of the short |
| 18 that correction | 18 time frame to expend the funds during the |
| 19 A. (Bisson) The correction is on Attachment B, | 19 remaining months of 2012, those funds have |
| 20 which is on Page 7 of our proposal, and it's | 20 been allocated to each utility based on the |
| 21 under the Proposed Use of RGGI Funds under | 21 current capacity of the Community Action |
| 22 the ENERGY STAR Appliance Program. And the | 22 Agencies in each of the utilities' service |
| 23 sentence beginning with, "The utilities plan | 23 area |
| 24 to begin implementation of these incentives | 24 Q. Second, with respect to the allocation of the |



| 1 | some new elements. One has to do with |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | heating system rebates -- heating and cooling |
| 3 | system rebates. And that particular program |
| 4 | is, out of the \$2 million, is budgeted at |
| 5 | \$50,000. And essentially what we're looking |
| 6 | to do there is to replicate a program that we |
| 7 | worked on with the Office of Energy and |
| 8 | Planning with ARRA funds earlier during |
| 9 | the -- I guess it would have been in the 2011 |
| 10 | time frame. And that program had earlier |
| 11 | been funded at the \$750,000 level or so. And |
| 12 | it is intended to assist with the |
| 13 | installation of high-efficiency heating |
| 14 | appliances and cooling appliances. It also |
| 15 | includes thermostats. So these monies would |
| 16 | be used in this case for setting up the |
| 17 | program and making it available statewide. <br> 18 |
| 19 | Originally, this program had been offered |
| 20 | statewide, but had only been -- but PSNH had |
| 21 | next evarged with implementation. In this all of the utilities will |
| 22 | be -- will work in the program. |
| 23 | We're also looking to put the |
| 24 | infrastructure in place -- that is, the |
| WITNESS PANEL: BISSON\|GELINEAU|PALMA] |  |

$1 \quad$ training of contractors; the rebate forms and
2 the contracts that we might need with the
3 contractors who would be implementing this
4 program or working with us on this program.
5 We expect to spend something in the neighborhood of $\$ 15,000$ associated with the setup of the program, and another $\$ 35,000$ would be associated with rebates that we might offer in the November-December time frame.
Q. With respect to the performance incentive, can you please explain the thinking behind the utilities' proposal, Mr. Gelineau? A. (Gelineau) Yes, I can. I think that with the order of notice asking utilities to put together a proposal based on the CORE programs -- and I think, as everyone is aware, since their inception in 2002, the CORE programs have had incentive associated with them. The RGGI grant that the utilities received in 2009 was also another example wherein the utilities had proposed a shareholder incentive. While somewhat different, it was essentially the same form

1 as the shareholder incentive that has been used in the CORE programs, the difference being in that case we used carbon instead of kilowatt hours. But essentially it was the same formulation, very similar to what was used in the CORE programs.

And finally, I would point to the fact that the Vermont study provided a number of alternatives or other thoughts in terms of ways in which shareholder incentive could be implemented. It was very clear throughout that report that they felt the shareholder incentive was appropriate.

So in answer to the first part of the Commission's question within the order of notice, wherein they asked should a shareholder incentive be allowed, for the reasons I've stated, it's been in the CORE program right along. It's part of the RGGI award that we received. And it's also integral to the Vermont study. We feel as though a shareholder incentive is appropriate.

The order of notice goes on to ask the
question, well, what level -- you know, what would be appropriate levels? And the utilities believed that the appropriate level in this particular instance would be 8 percent. Eight percent represents an incentive that would be earned by the utilities if they met their commitments, if they did exactly what it is that they said they would do.

I think that we can look back in the history of the CORE programs, and I think that we'll find that consistently the utilities have met or exceeded the goals that have been set. And so they have consistently delivered and provided the services that they had said they would do, and more, at the cost that they had originally committed to do the work at. So, more work has been done at the price that was originally agreed upon.

And so, from our perspective, we have seen no reason why these additional funds, these $\$ 2$ million, would be any different in terms of the results we would achieve by implementing essentially the same programs.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. And are you aware why the recommendation was | 1 this time. Thank you. |
| 2 made that the funding be provided for the | 2 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Rooney? |
| 3 low-income program for 2012 as soon as | 3 MR. ROONEY: No questions at |
| 4 possibl | 4 th |
| 5 A. (Bisson) Yes. It's my understanding that New | 5 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Nute? |
| 6 Hampshire has experienced substantial | 6 MR. NUTE: No questions. |
| 7 reductions in funding for low-income | 7 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. |
| 8 weatherization programs, mainly due to the | 8 Hollenberg |
| 9 depletion of funds from the American Recovery | 9 MS. HOLLENBERG: Thank you. I |
| 10 and Reinvestment Act, I believe. | 10 guess I'll direct this just generally to the |
| 11 Q. Thank you. And with respect to the - | 11 panel, and I'll defer to you on who might want |
| 12 finally, with respect to the utilities' joint | 12 to ans |
| 13 proposal, on Page 2, contained in the | 13 Are you familiar with the |
| 14 discussion of the low-income there was a | 14 OCA's response to the joint utilities' |
| 15 reference to a July 31st letter. And is that | 15 proposal which the Office of Consumer |
| 16 in fact this Exhibit 60? | 16 Advocate filed on the 17th of August? |
| 17 A. (Bisson) Yes, it is. | 17 A. (Gelineau) Yes, I've seen that. |
| 18 Q. Okay. And Mr. Gelineau, just in reference to | 18 Q. And in that response, you would agree that |
| 19 your discussion regarding performance | 19 the Office of Consumer Advocate agreed to the |
| 20 incentive -- and I believe that you | 20 proposal as filed, except to the extent of |
| 21 mentioned, I guess what we would all refer to | 21 the performance incentive that the utilities |
| 22 as a "re: CORE RGGI grant to the utilities in | 22 requested for the existing \$2 million in RGGI |
| 23 2009." Is that familiar to you? | 23 funds. Do you concur with that summary? |
| 24 A. (Gelineau) Yes, it is. | 24 A. (Gelineau) That's an accurate representation. |
| [WITNESS PANEL: BISSON\|GELINEAU|PALMA] Page 34 | [WITNESS PANEL: BISSON\|GELINEAU|PALMA] Page 36 |
| 1 Q. And if I showed you a document that I don't | 1 Q. Thank you. |
| 2 intend to make an exhibit, but entitled "RGGI | 2 And do you have a response about a |
| 3 Expansion of CORE New Hampshire Energy | 3 response to the OCA's position on the |
| 4 Efficiency Programs re: CORE August 19th, | performance incentive, specifically that |
| 52009 to December 31st, 2010, Final Report," | 566 percent rather than 8 percent represents a |
| 6 are you familiar with that document? | 6 better balance of the risks and benefits |
| 7 A. (Gelineau) Yes, I am. | 7 associated with the CORE programs? |
| 8 Q. And just to refresh your memory, on the third | 8 A. (Gelineau) Well, I believe I went through |
| 9 to the last page there's a chart. And are | 9 what I believe is an explanation as to how we |
| 10 you familiar with that chart? | 10 came up with the value that we did. And I |
| 11 (Witness reviews document.) | 11 just -- I don't want to repeat everything. |
| 12 A. (Gelineau) Yes, I have seen this chart | 12 But essentially, we feel as though the |
| 13 before | 13 8-percent level is not the maximum. It's a |
| 14 Q. And does that chart reflect on it the fact | 14 level that represents delivery on what it is |
| 15 that the utilities did receive a performance | 15 that we say we would deliver on. And we |
| 16 incentive on the RGGI re: CORE program? | 16 believe that that is, you know, given our |
| 17 A. (Gelineau) Yes, it does reflect that | 17 track record where we have consistently |
| 18 information. | 18 over-delivered, we feel as though that's not |
| 19 Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further | 19 an unreasonable position to have, that we |
| 20 questions. | 20 represent an incentive that is less than a |
| 21 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. | 21 full -- less than the maximum that might be |
| 22 Thank you. | 22 available, but yet it does reflect fully |
| 23 Ms. Richardson | 23 meeting the commitments that we make. And I |
| 24 MS. RICHARDSON: No questions at | 24 think that that is -- you know, from a |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 we earn more on the portion that's already | 1 files a rate case in determining the revenue |
| 2 approved, there's -- you know, had this been | 2 requirement, is it using forecast -- it does |
| 3 included in our original proposal and we had | 3 use kilowatt hour sales forecasts in that |
| 4 that opportunity to start at the beginning of | 4 process; correct? |
| 5 the year and earn whatever it is we earned on | 5 A. (Gelineau) I'm not an expert on that. But |
| 6 the already approved part, then we've got a | 6 no, I think that it uses a test year. It |
| 7 risk that we didn't earn as much as we | 7 uses a past year to come up with the -- with |
| 8 couldn't have. So | 8 that figure. |
| 9 Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Gelineau. No position | 9 Q. Okay. Yes. Thank you. |
| 10 by the OCA that you don't put in a lot of | 10 Now, in those estimates -- or those |
| 11 work. | 11 numbers of sales, does that include losses |
| 12 A. (Gelineau) I didn't interpret it that way. | 12 related to energy efficiency? |
| 13 But I just wanted to point out that we did | 13 A. (Gelineau) Yes, it does. In other words, |
| 14 shirk a little bit on this, but it was a | 14 what happens is that when you do have a rate |
| 15 tough shirk. | 15 case, it essentially resets -- I'm concerned |
| 16 MS. HOLLENBERG: Thank you. No | 16 that we're going to get off the track and get |
| 17 other questions. | 17 into some very esoteric stuff that is not |
| 18 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you | 18 necessarily part of this. |
| 19 Ms. Thunberg. | 19 But I guess, simply said, you would |
| 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION | 20 reset the lost fixed-cost recovery at the |
| 21 BY MS. THUNBERG | 21 point in time that there's a rate case. |
| 22 Q. I may jump around just a little bit because I | 22 Again, I don't know where you're going with |
| 23 don't want to lose some of the testimony, Mr. | 23 this. But I'm afraid that, you know, to the |
| 24 Gelineau, that you had just given. And I | 24 extent that this is -- this is likely to get |
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| 1 believe you said that you had a cost that is | into language that a lot of us are not |
| 2 below the national average, a kilowatt -- | 2 necessarily familiar with, and that's not my |
| 3 A. (Gelineau) I pointed to the Vermont study, | 3 intent here. |
| 4 the VEIC study. And you can find that in | 4 Q . Is it fair to characterize your testimony |
| 5 Section 5.8 of the study. I think it's on | 5 today in support of performance incentive is |
| 6 Page 5.8, in which it states that the cost to | 6 that a performance incentive is warranted, in |
| 7 save a kilowatt hour for the CORE programs, I | 7 part, because there are -- there's a loss in |
| 8 think they say something in the neighborhood | 8 kilowatt sales? Is that accurate? |
| 9 of it's significantly better than the | 9 A. That's not accurate. I think that what I was |
| 10 national average that is 2.8 cents. | 10 trying to do by bringing that part of the |
| 11 Q. Okay. I just wanted to clarify. Does that | 11 conversation in -- what I tried to do was to |
| 12 for PSNH include the non-electric savings | 12 show that, to the extent that it's felt that |
| 13 when that's computed? | 13 the CORE programs, and in particular the |
| 14 A. (Gelineau) That includes everything. And | 14 performance incentive, is a windfall to the |
| 15 that is not for PSNH. That is for the CORE | 15 utilities, I just want to make it clear that |
| 16 programs. | 16 that's not really true. If you look at the |
| 17 Q. Thank you for that clarification | 17 entire picture, you'll see that it's actually |
| 18 A. (Gelineau) Those are not our numbers, either. | 18 very costly from that perspective when you |
| 19 I would just point out that's what VEIC came | 19 take into account shareholder incentive as |
| 20 up with. | 20 well as the lost revenues, the lost |
| 21 Q. Thank you | 21 distribution revenues -- I'll be specific |
| 22 And also, just going back to your | 22 about -- then it's not a net gain. It's a |
| 23 testimony in support of performance | 23 net loss. |
| 24 incentive, I just wanted to ask, when PSNH | 24 Q. I'm going to move on to another subject. And |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 the fact -- that's on Page 6. | 1 this situation gets exacerbated, quite |
| 2 Q. Okay. Got there. | 2 frankly, in the "out" years. You're |
| 3 A. (Gelineau) If you look across, you'll see | 3 accumulating kilowatt hours that aren't |
| 4 that the funding level for PSNH and Unitil | 4 compensated for. And the longer you go |
| 5 are essentially the same; albeit, you know, | 5 between rate cases, at least from th |
| 6 the company sizes are somewhat different. | 6 perspective alone, creates larger losses, if |
| 7 And the reason for that is that the capacity | 7 you will, or larger sales that aren't |
| 8 of Belknap-Merrimack Community Action Agency | 8 accounted for. At the point in time that you |
| 9 for example, which covers a lot of Unitil's | 9 come to a rate case, those kilowatt hours |
| 10 service territory, is significant. And | 10 the new level of sales is accounted for in |
| 11 that's where a lot of the work was going to | 11 the new revenue requirements, and so that |
| 12 be done. So this reflects what it is that | 12 essentially the history gets wiped out and |
| 13 the CAPs can actually do. And, again, we're | 13 you start all over again. |
| 14 coming back to the idea that the Commission | 14 Q. So it takes time, but eventually it gets |
| 15 wanted us to put together a proposal that can | 15 trued up. It doesn't show up as a loss. |
| 16 be done within the next four months. So | 16 Every five years you're not loosing 16-1/2 |
| 17 that's what we're trying to react to | 17 million dollars. Eventually you get the |
| 18 Q. So if I follow what you're saying then, you | 18 money back. |
| 19 went out to the CAAs and said, how much more | 19 A. (Gelineau) We don't get that money back |
| 20 projects can you get done within this period | 20 That's not true. What happens is that -- |
| 21 of time, and then you assess the money | 21 those dollars are gone. But what happens is |
| 22 according -- | 22 any future dollars associated with that, you |
| 23 A. (Gelineau) We worked together with them to | 23 wouldn't continue to accrue those losses. I |
| 24 work out that project. | 24 mean, at that point it would come back to |
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| 1 Q. That helps. Thank you | 1 zero, if you will. |
| 2 This is directed to Mr. Gelineau. You | 2 Q. Yeah. My choice of words wasn't correct. |
| 3 had stated that between 2010 and 2015, | 3 You get back -- you get trued up over time. |
| 4 participation in the CORE program would | 4 A. (Gelineau) You get trued up over time. |
| 5 result in a loss of revenues net performance | 5 That's correct. |
| 6 incentive of about \$16 million to Public | 6 And I will say in this analysis we have |
| 7 Service. I'm just trying to follow up on | 7 not assumed there would be a true-up |
| 8 that. That is correct, what you stated? | 8 between -- no additional true-ups through |
| 9 A. (Gelineau) I said sixteen-five. Yes, that's | $9 \quad 2015$. |
| 10 true. | 10 Q. Okay. Moving on to Page 4, there was some |
| 11 Q. Okay. Sixteen-five. Okay. | 11 discussion with OCA on performance |
| 12 And getting back to what Staff had | 12 incentives. And the word "guaranteed" |
| 13 spoken on this, just so I can get clarified, | 138 percent came up a couple times. And I'm |
| 14 because, after all, you are the one who | 14 looking at the formula at the bottom of |
| 15 brought the subject up, couldn't you go to a | 15 Page 4, and that strikes me as the |
| 16 rate case and show this loss and get | 16 performance incentive is based on |
| 17 compensated for it? | 17 performance. So, how would -- how are we |
| 18 A. (Gelineau) In a rate case -- the process of a | 18 saying that's a guaranty? |
| 19 rate case would in fact true this up and | 19 A. (Gelineau) Well, if you look at the factors |
| 20 bring it back down, such that the company's | 20 involved, the guaranty comes in by virtue of |
| 21 revenues would be reflective of the level of | 21 the fact that the ratio of the benefit |
| 22 sales. And so those things would be reset, | 22 cost -- actual to benefit cost planned has |
| 23 if you will. And it's only in the interim, | 23 been set to one, and the savings ratio of |
| 24 between rate cases, that this tends to -- | 24 actual savings to plan savings has been set |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 18 percent and see what happens with the | 1 to do that in the till come $1 / 1 / 13$. |
| 2 working group down the road. | 2 Q. Thank you. That brings up my third question. |
| 3 Q. And again, I think with the Staff, | 3 I was hoping -- and again, if it was going to |
| 4 mentioned you would effectively be | 4 come up later, I can wait, but I think now is |
| 5 segregating each of these programs, the RGG | 5 the time. Can you flush out the seed money |
| 6 money compared to what you already have. | 6 concept that was originally introduced a |
| 7 A. (Palma) Yes. | 7 little bit? |
| 8 Q. As far as that could result, obviously | 8 A. (Gelineau) What we're saying is that, if we |
| 9 different performance incentives | 9 are to begin implementation of the programs |
| 10 different sources - | 10 that we will propose in our September 17th |
| 11 A. (Gelineau) In all likelihood, it will be | 11 filing, we will need some funding to do that. |
| 12 different, unless, of course, the performance | 12 And to the extent that funding isn't |
| 13 incentive associated with the ARRA-approved | 13 available, we would need to delay the |
| 14 programs turns out to be 8 percent. But, you | 14 implementation. And that's really all we're |
| 15 know, the odds aren't good. | 15 saying. If there are delays, I also tried to |
| 16 Q. So, does that create any problems? | 16 point out that, you know, that is likely to |
| 17 A. (Gelineau) If they're different? No, I don't | 17 cause customer confusion, potentially in |
| 18 think it causes any problems if they're | 18 terms of implementation of a program |
| 19 different. But I think that we tried to put | 19 generally. But it's going to depend on the |
| 20 together something that wasn't arbitrary -- | 20 specifics. If it's a delay or a hold on the |
| 21 totally arbitrary, you know. And as I say, | 21 implementation of an existing program, that's |
| 22 non-arbitrary is we're going to deliver on | 22 far more confusing than we just delay the |
| 23 what we said we're going to do. And if we | 23 beginning of a new program. |
| 24 agree that that's a reasonable approach, then | 24 A. (Palma) The best example would be the |
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| 1 a reasonable number is 8 percent. | 1 appliances program with the fuel neutral |
| 2 Q. Okay. Thank you. Obviously, the plan | 2 measures. If we gear up the contractors and |
| 3 addresses $\$ 2$ million as originally | 3 at the same time gear up the customers that |
| 4 envisioned. As I'm sure you're aware, there | 4 we're going to start a program in |
| 5 are two more auctions for this calendar year | 5 November-December, and then run out of |
| 6 for RGGI. Assuming they're similar to the | 6 funding and have to stop it, it could have a |
| 7 last one, it would be roughly $\$ 2$ million | 7 big negative effect when we restart it in |
| 8 each, if you assume that. So that would be | 8 April, or late March. That start/stop |
| 9 an additional \$4 million in that scenario. | 9 really, especially for contractors, could |
| 10 Do the utilities have a plan, prior to 2013, | 10 have a really, you know, downward trend on |
| 11 in those eventualities? | 11 their trust in that we'll actually be there, |
| 12 A. (Gelineau) The eventualities that we would be | 12 able to assist them, when they convince a |
| 13 asked to spend more than $\$ 2$ million? | 13 customer to go from a standard piece of |
| 14 Q. Yeah. Thanks. | 14 equipment to a 90-percent ENERGY STAR, |
| 15 A. (Gelineau) I think what we tried to convey | 15 top-of-the-line piece of equipment. So |
| 16 this morning, and this afternoon, is that our | 16 that's one of our big concerns. |
| 17 plan is for $\$ 2$ million. And we feel as | 17 Q. So, just so I understand the mechanism of the |
| 18 though we can be successful with that. And | 18 proposal from this morning, if I understood |
| 19 beyond that, we're not prepared to -- we're | 19 it right, \$2 million which you have in the |
| 20 not prepared to offer anything at this | 20 proposal, and then a million dollars as seed, |
| 21 particular point, other than to say that we | 21 if you will, which is the term that was used, |
| 22 feel as though it's important to understand | 22 are we being asked to effectively bank that |
| 23 that if we are to hit the ground running in | 23 money and then disburse it? What is the |
| 242013 , there should be some monies available | 24 actual mechanism? |


| [WITNESS PANEL: BISSON\|GELINEAU|PALMA] Page 73 | [WITNESS PANEL: BISSON\|GELINEAU|PALMA] Page 75 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. (Gelineau) I think that I would more | 1 in order to wait until the funding is |
| 2 characterize it as to appraise the Commission | 2 |
| 3 of the situation so that they can make -- you | 3 Q. But you're really talking about continuity, |
| 4 can make the most informed decision. Shoul | 4 aren' |
| 5 you decide not to have any money in the till, | 5 A. (Gelineau) Yes. |
| 6 if you will, at $1 / 1 / 13$, we're going to be in | 6 Q. -- that if you expand these programs now with |
| 7 a situation where we're going to be delaying | 7 additional funding through the end of this |
| 8 implementation until such time as funds are | 8 year, and there is no more RGGI influx of |
| 9 available. So we want to call out to your | 9 money until the March auction, you wouldn't |
| 10 attention now, before those monies might get | 10 want to have to pull back on those programs |
| 11 spent on other purposes, that, to the extent | 11 until new money comes in. |
| 12 there is no money available at that time, it | 12 A. (Gelineau) That's well said. In other words, |
| 13 could cause a delay in implementation in | 13 to the extent that you have a program that |
| $14 \quad 2013$. | 14 would go forward into 2013, that is solely |
| 15 Q. That's helpful. Thank you. | 15 funded by RGGI funds, then, in the event that |
| 16 CMSR. SCOTT: That's all I had. | 16 there are no RGGI funds, then that program is |
| 17 INTERROGATORIES BY CMSR. IGNATIUS: | 17 going to be delayed either in its initial |
| 18 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Well, I'm | 18 implementation or its continued |
| 19 still a little lost. It may be that we're | 19 implementation. |
| 20 using words differently | 20 Q. And the program design and budgets for |
| 21 When you say, unless there was | 21 January 1st and thereafter are part of what |
| 22 some money set aside -- presumably from | 22 you're developing for the Commission's |
| 23 anything over \$2 million between now and | 23 consideration in the next docket; correct? |
| 24 December 31st -- unless that were set aside | 24 A. (Gelineau) Correct. |
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| 1 and put into the utility accounts for | 1 Q. So, some of those transitional issues could |
| 2 January 1st and thereafter, you would have | 2 also be addressed in what you bring forward. |
| 3 trouble implementing, do you mean continuing | 3 A. (Gelineau) Absolutely. I think that the only |
| 4 the programs that are -- that you're laying | 4 thing that we felt was important today was to |
| 5 out here, or do you mean -- I think of | 5 make it clear that this issue was out there |
| 6 implementing as beginning, starting -- | 6 and that we realize that the Commission may |
| 7 A. (Gelineau) I think Mr. Palma just | 7 be making other decisions regarding funding |
| 8 indicated -- you know, used an example of if | 8 and use of these funds. And we just wanted |
| 9 we were to continue this heating system | 9 to make this known that this is, you know, a |
| 10 rebate program, for example. If in December | 10 potential issue that should be considered as |
| 11 we had monies associated with the potentially | 11 you're considering everything else that |
| 12 approved program here and were making | 12 you're looking at. |
| 13 rebates, and then come January 1st we had no | 13 Q. One other question on this. I always think |
| 14 money, then the work that we had done with | 14 of the phrase "seed money" as meaning starter |
| 15 heating contractors, for example, we would | 15 funds to do something new, starting a |
| 16 need to make sure that they were up to speed | 16 nonprofit or some new venture. And as you're |
| 17 that, hey, come 1/1/13, we don't have money | 17 describing it, it sounds not like that so |
| 18 anymore and we have to wait until sometime | 18 much as continuation of the proposals you're |
| 19 later on before we would be able to honor | 19 making here. |
| 20 additional rebates. And so that's really the | 20 Are you also envisioning this seed money |
| 21 only thing that we're trying to convey, is | 21 as meaning funding wholly different programs |
| 22 that, to the extent that there is no money | 22 than we've been talking about today? |
| 23 available at the beginning of the year, we | 23 A. (Gelineau) Well, that's certainly a |
| 24 may have to delay implementation of a program | 24 possibility, yes. In other words, we're not |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 out there in the audience, is the best person | 1 Q. How much was there already this year then? |
| 2 to answer that question | 2 I'm sorry. I didn't see it if it was in the |
| 3 MS. KNOWLTON: We'd be glad to | 3 |
| 4 have Mr. Stanley sworn in if that's helpful to | 4 A. (Gelineau) You mean in the already approved |
| 5 answer the ques | 5 progran |
| 6 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yeah, I | 6 (Pause in proceedings) |
| 7 don't want to -- we're getting short on time. | 7 Q. Yes. Well, if you don't have that right now, |
| 8 Maybe just an offer of proof. Is there sort of | 8 it's something we can get later. It's no big |
| 9 an easy answer to -- | 9 de |
| 10 MR. STANLEY: It's based purely | 10 I'm trying to follow up on a little |
| 11 on our projected customer demand and -- | 11 confusion I've got on rolling into next year. |
| 12 (Court Reporter interjects.) | 12 It seems like what you're saying is the |
| 13 MR. STANLEY: They are estimates | 13 million dollars would be used to be able to |
| 14 based on purely what we see for potential | 14 keep the programs going after $12 / 31$, as |
| 15 projects in our territory and estimated | 15 there's no new RGGI money coming in until |
| 16 customer demand, and based on activities so fa | 16 after the March auction. That's what I think |
| 17 to date. So it's purely a bottoms-up estimate | 17 I heard you say. But after 12/31, it's, for |
| 18 and getting feedback from our contract | 18 lack of a better term, one big happy program. |
| 19 programs. | 19 There is no RGGI program. There is no CORE |
| 20 A. (Gelineau) I think another point of reminder | 20 program. There's only the new CORE program, |
| 21 is that this is a program with which we've | 21 which will include funding from System |
| 22 partnered with Better Buildings. And so, for | 22 Benefit Charges, as well as from the sale of |
| 23 example, Public Service, we have an extra | 23 RGGI auctions. So I'm not quite sure why |
| 24 million and a half dollars that we've got in | 24 there's a differential, because it sounds -- |
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| 1 that program through the Better Buildings | 1 and maybe I'm getting the wrong impression |
| 2 avenue. So that's why we're not looking for | 2 but it sounds as though right now you're |
| 3 additional funding here. | 3 having -- in order to account for the |
| 4 A. (Palma) That's the same for Unitil as well, | 4 additional revenues we're talking about, what |
| 5 and I believe the Co-op as well. We have | 5 you're proposing is some expansion of |
| 6 extra funding for Better Building. | 6 different things, but you refer to it as, you |
| 7 Q. All right. That makes sense. Thank you. I | 7 know, RGGI funding, because it is a separate |
| 8 think that does it for me | 8 source. It's a new source of revenue |
| 9 Commissioner Harrington, you had another | 9 separate from the System Benefits Charge. |
| 10 question? | 10 But once we get into next year, there isn't |
| 11 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, just a | 11 going to be any RGGI funded or whatever |
| 12 couple quick follow-up questions. | 12 funded. It's going to simply be the new COR |
| 13 INTERROGATORIES BY MR. HARRINGTON: | 13 Energy Efficiency Programs that are funded by |
| 14 Q. On the same exhibit, Page 8, under the Energy | 14 both RGGI and the System Benefits Charge. |
| 15 Code Training, how much money is being spent | 15 I'm not sure -- why do you have to |
| 16 on that this year, and how much additional | 16 differentiate the source of these funds? |
| 17 funding is going there? Is that in one of | 17 A. (Gelineau) If we learn today that that's |
| 18 your charts? | 18 entirely true, you're going to have a lot of |
| 19 A. (Gelineau) We have a total of \$25,000 | 19 happy people. I think that we have assumed |
| 20 associated with that. | 20 that we need to keep these funds separate. |
| 21 Q. Is that existing or -- | 21 And to the extent that we can merge them and |
| 22 A. (Gelineau) That is new funding. All of that | 22 treat them as one, I think that we would be |
| 23 is going into essentially for code-related | 23 extremely pleased to be able to do that. |
| 24 work. | 24 Q. I mean, looking at the new law, which is |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 the OCA should have to say -- | 1 A. (Gelineau) For the program year 2010, the |
| 2 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Well, in the | 2 Commission had ruled that the performance |
| 3 normal course, we don't do any redirect of any | 3 incentive would be limited to 8 percent |
| 4 sort -- I mean recross. We do have redirect | 4 and -- for the 2010 program year. And that |
| 5 from the utility counsel. So why don't we go | 5 has to do with an adjustment that was made at |
| 6 ahead and do that first, and then -- I'm | 6 the Legislature, wherein the amount of |
| 7 willing to listen to what it is you're going | 7 dollars available for the programs was |
| 8 into, but don't assume that the answer is yes. | 8 reduc |
| 9 All right? | 9 Q . And is there any precedent for the |
| 10 MR. LINDER: It's just that | 10 methodology that is being suggested by the |
| 11 there's a fact that's missing that I could | 11 Office of Consumer Advocate to limit the |
| 12 bring to the Commission's attention. | 12 performance incentive to 6 percent? |
| 13 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. | 13 A. (Gelineau) I'm not aware of a previous setup |
| 14 Let's first go to redirect. | 14 for that particular approach. |
| 15 Ms. Goldwasser, any questions? | 15 Q. And just a couple more questions and we'll be |
| 16 MS. GOLDWASSER: I'd like to | 16 don |
| 17 take one minute with the witnesses. And I'm | 17 The current programs that are funded by |
| 18 perfectly happy if Ms. Hollenberg -- if we want | 18 RGGI, including those represented by |
| 19 to stop so Ms. Hollenberg can present the OCA's | 19 intervenors in this docket and others, are |
| 20 position and then resume back with redirect | 20 fuel neutral or may be fuel neutral programs; |
| 21 after I've had a chance to confer with the | 21 right? |
| 22 witnesses very quickly to try to avoid any | 22 A. (Gelineau) That's correct. |
| 23 questions that don't need to be asked. Or we | 23 Q. So that's one difference, just to clarify, |
| 24 can just go and do it. Whatever the Chair's | 24 between the System Benefits Charge funds, |
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| 1 pleasure is. | 1 which can be sometimes used for fuel neutral |
| 2 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If you need | 2 purposes under the recent order in this |
| 3 a quick conversation, that's fine. And maybe | 3 docket, but historically RGGI funds have been |
| 4 Mr. Linder's issue you can bring out as well. | 4 used in a fuel neutral manner? |
| 5 (Discussion with counsel and witnesses) | 5 A. (Gelineau) That's correct. |
| 6 MS. GOLDWASSER: Thank you for | 6 A. (Palma) And just to clarify, in the recent |
| 7 that moment. | 7 order, it was for the HPwES program, and |
| 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 8 nothing on the commercial side on the SBC is |
| 9 BY MS. GOLDWASSER: | 9 fuel neutral. |
| 10 Q . With respect to the performance incentive | 10 MS. GOLDWASSER: I have nothing |
| 11 that you're proposing, the utilities would | 11 further. Thank you for the opportunity for |
| 12 only earn a performance incentive if you | 12 redirect. |
| 13 spend the funds consistent with the proposal. | 13 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. |
| 14 Is that true? | 14 Mr. Linder, do you still have |
| 15 A. (Gelineau) Yes | 15 need for a question? |
| 16 Q. So it's not guaranteed. If you don't proceed | 16 MR. LINDER: I do, but I don't |
| 17 to spend the funds consistent with the | 17 have to do it in the form of a question. |
| 18 proposal you've made, then you won't get | 18 There's just a critical missing fact that is |
| 1988 percent on all $\$ 2$ million, from that | 19 contained in Exhibit 68, if I could point that |
| 20 perspective? | 20 out to the Commission. |
| 21 A. (Gelineau) That's correct. | 21 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. |
| 22 Q. Under the -- I'm sorry. Is there any | 22 Why don't you do that. |
| 23 precedent for the Commission to set a | 23 MR. LINDER: Exhibit 68 is a |
| 24 performance incentive at 8 percent? | 24 letter from the Community Action Agency. I am |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | not saying that The Way Home endorses this | 1 | you. |
| 2 | proposal or not. But there are two critical | 2 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Assuming |
| 3 | facts in it that pertain to the question from | 3 | there's no problem with that. |
| 4 | the Bench regarding whether the loss of the | 4 | MS. HOLLENBERG: I hope not. |
| 5 | ARRA money is really the only reason for the | 5 | CLOSING STATEMENTS |
| 6 | inability to do the work. And the third | 6 | MS. HOLLENBERG: The Office of |
| 7 | paragraph on the first page refers to the fact | 7 | Consumer Advocate would just direct the |
| 8 | that, since the late 1970s, there has been | 8 | Commission to the filing that we made, the |
| 9 | regular allocation of funds through the U.S. | 9 | written filing dated August 17th, which |
| 10 | Department of Energy, called the Weatherization | 10 | contains our position on the Joint Utility |
| 11 | Program. That's been the main source of | 11 | Proposal. We appreciate all the parties' |
| 12 | funding. The paragraph goes on to say that it | 12 | efforts leading up to this hearing and during |
| 13 | was averaging \$1.1 to \$1.3 million per year. | 13 | the day today to try and present something to |
| 14 | And on top of the next page, it says the | 14 | the Commission that was as efficient and as |
| 15 | allocation for 2012 is only going to be | 15 | easy to understand. Thank very much for your |
| 16 | \$590,000, which will only allow doing 91 homes. | 16 | accommodation. |
| 17 | But they haven't even received that 2012 | 17 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. |
| 18 | allocation yet, which they should have received | 18 | And I understand that you need to go. |
| 19 | in April, and they're not even expecting to get | 19 | Why don't we keep with the |
| 20 | it until October. So they're operating without | 20 | order we were doing before and then let the |
| 21 | any money. And so that's why it is so | 21 | utilities go last, as it's their proposal to |
| 22 | critical, in our view, that some of the RGGI | 22 | close on, although we're in a funny position |
| 23 | monies be allowed to be used. So I just wanted | 23 | where we've got kind of competing proposals, |
| 24 | to bring those facts that are contained in | 24 | although one of them hasn't yet been fully |
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| 1 | Exhibit 68 to the Commission's attention. And | 1 | fleshed out. So if you want to address the |
| 2 | I don't need to do it through questioning. | 2 | utility proposal now, and then your comments |
| 3 | Thank you for allowing me to do this. | 3 | about the proposal that Jordan Institute and |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you | 4 | others are going to make, you'll have that |
| 5 | for that clarification. | 5 | written opportunity. Might be easier than |
| 6 | All right. We're done with | 6 | kind of guessing what might be soon to come. |
| 7 | this panel. But rather than take the time to | 7 | So, Mr. Frost, comments in |
| 8 | have you move back to your seats, if you | 8 | closing? |
| 9 | could just stay there. | 9 | MR. FROST: Thank you, |
| 10 | I guess we have a few options | 10 | Commissioner Ignatius. And thank you for the |
| 11 | here. One would be, if there's any further | 11 | opportunity to present this group of |
| 12 | witnesses to call, please let me know. If | 12 | intervenors' proposal at a later date. It's an |
| 13 | not, then go to closing statements and any | 13 | important opportunity for us all, I think. We |
| 14 | additional points that you want to make on | 14 | are generally supportive of the utilities' |
| 15 | your positions that haven't been brought out | 15 | proposal, with the single caveat on the |
| 16 | thus far through examination or some of the | 16 | performance incentive as it applied to the |
| 17 | discussions we've already had. Is there any | 17 | 15-percent carve-out. And that's all I have. |
| 18 | reason we can't go straight to closings? | 18 | Thank you. |
| 19 | And if that's the case, I | 19 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. |
| 20 | know, Ms. Hollenberg, you've got another | 20 | Mr. Cloutier. |
| 21 | commitment. So I'd just as soon have you go | 21 | MR. CLOUTIER: I'll pass. |
| 22 | out of order, if that helps, and have you do | 22 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. |
| 23 | that first. | 23 | Courchesne? |
| 24 | MS. HOLLENBERG: Yes. Thank | 24 | MR. COURCHESNE: Thank you, |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | explanations that were offered today. | 1 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: So if you |
| 2 | With respect to combining | 2 | have comments you want to make in closing, |
| 3 | funds -- it was an issue raised by | 3 | please do that. |
| 4 | Commissioner Harrington -- Staff's read on | 4 | MS. OHLER: I don't have any |
| 5 | House Bill 1490's amendment to R.S.A. | 5 | comments in addition, other than what's in our |
| 6 | 125-O:23, A -- I'm sorry -- Roman Numeral I, | 6 | letter. But I do appreciate all the work done |
| 7 | we read that as requiring separate | 7 | by all sides. |
| 8 | accounting. | 8 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. |
| 9 | Lastly, with respect to | 9 | Then, Ms. Goldwasser, go ahead. |
| 10 | performance incentive, in the record here we | 10 | MS. GOLDWASSER: Thank you. |
| 11 | have a variety of options, which is the | 11 | Very briefly. Unitil seeks approval of the \$2 |
| 12 | zero percent, which was a precedent from the | 12 | million proposal as set forth in the utilities' |
| 13 | original RGGI model; 6 percent suggested by | 13 | August 10th plan, including the 8-percent |
| 14 | OCA; 8 percent suggested by the utilities. | 14 | performance incentive which was discussed |
| 15 | As the utilities acknowledge, the normal | 15 | today. To the extent that the Commission would |
| 16 | calculation of savings goal and cost | 16 | like the utilities to continue the RGGI-funded |
| 17 | effectiveness are not going to be performed; | 17 | elements of the CORE program starting on |
| 18 | thus, the performance incentive cannot be | 18 | January 1st, 2012, Unitil requests that some |
| 19 | calculated as it usually is. Staff also | 19 | portion of those funds from the September and |
| 20 | notes that the working group is working on | 20 | December actions -- auctions -- excuse me -- in |
| 21 | the issue of performance incentive, but that | 21 | the range of \$1 million be made available for |
| 22 | doesn't help us for the immediate proceeding. | 22 | the CORE 2013 programs. Unitil takes no |
| 23 | . So, in conclusion, Staff's | 23 | position regarding the use of the remaining |
| 24 | position is like, OCA, the Jordan Institute | 24 | funds which are available as a result of the |
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| 1 | and DES, that Staff does not agree with the | 1 | September and December RGGI auctions. Thank |
| 2 | utilities' 8-percent proposal. And Staff has | 2 | you. |
| 3 | no further comment. Thank you again for your | 3 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. |
| 4 | time at today's hearing. | 4 | Is there any objection to striking the |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. | 5 | identification on the exhibits? |
| 6 | I'm going to turn to Ms. Goldwasser. Also, | 6 | (No verbal response) |
| 7 | there are a few participants here who are not | 7 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Seeing none, |
| 8 | formal intervenors but may want to make a | 8 | we will do so. |
| 9 | comment. Maybe we'll do that first. | 9 | Is there anything further to |
| 10 | And specifically, DES, I know | 10 | do today? We'll obviously be receiving |
| 11 | you submitted a letter, and it's in the file | 11 | further filings from the Jordan Institute on |
| 12 | as one of the exhibits -- oh, and it's just | 12 | behalf of the collective proposal from some |
| 13 | been pointed out to me, this just in, that | 13 | of the intervenors, and then responses to |
| 14 | you did file to intervene, and I didn't get | 14 | that. I don't think we have to worry about |
| 15 | that. And I don't know if we ruled on it. | 15 | them formally being identified as exhibits. |
| 16 | Do you know? | 16 | They are part of the record. They carry the |
| 17 | MS. OHLER: I thought you had. | 17 | same status. But rather than trying to |
| 18 | It was filed a year ago or so. | 18 | figure out numbering as they come in through |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Well, July. | 19 | the mail, just get them in, and they will be |
| 20 | Well, to the extent we haven't, we'll deal with | 20 | part of the record and part of our |
| 21 | it. Go ahead. And I didn't mean to skip over | 21 | consideration of all of these, on the same |
| 22 | you. Didn't realize you were an intervenor. | 22 | par as what we've been hearing today. |
| 23 | MS. OHLER: No problem. Thank | 23 | Ms. Knowlton? |
| 24 | you. | 24 | MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. While |

Ms. Goldwasser's closing was, as she indicated, on behalf of Unitil, I just would like to note for the record that Liberty Utilities joins in Unitil's closing statement and is in support of it.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
Any of the utilities don't join in support?
MR. BERSAK: We're onboard.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
If there's nothing else, then we will take all of this under advisement. I appreciate the efforts people have been making to be creative in an odd situation between a couple of statutes and trying to find a way to be efficient and effective with the public money that we have available. So, thank you for all of your efforts, and we await the filings on the 7th and the 14th. Thank you.
(Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at 3:17 p.m.)
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